

REMARKS

Election of Species:

As indicated above, applicant elects to prosecute species B defined by Figs. 1, 3 and 5. Applicant had previously elected species A in the reply dated March 11, 2004; however, this election was made in error and applicant wishes to correct this error by electing species B herein. In this connection, it is noted that applicant's representative contacted the Examiner to advise him of this error and to advise him that an amendment would be forthcoming.

Status of Claims:

Claims 1-2 and 4-12 remain for examination.

Amendments to Claims, Written Description and Drawings:

In reply to paragraph 2 of the restriction requirement mailed February 11, 2004, applicant has revised the claims, written description and drawings in order to remove ambiguities and to adopt the more conventional language as suggested by the Examiner.

With regard to the figures, applicants are submitting herewith "second replacement sheets" for Figs. 1-7 in which elements already labeled in connection with original Fig. 4 have been correspondingly labeled in Figs. 1, 5, 6 and 7. In this connection, it is pointed out that these elements were already shown but not labeled and thus the labeling is entirely consistent with original Fig. 4, thus introducing no new matter. The written description has also been amended in some instances to refer expressly to these labels. Moreover, these labels are also illustrated in Fig. 1, and Figs. 4-7 are relatively identical to Fig. 1 in many aspects and thus these same reference numerals labeled appropriately for the various figures have been utilized.

For example, reference number 201 as used in Fig. 1 and which denotes an opposing glass substrate corresponds to 401, 501, 601 and 701 as used in Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7, respectively.

Reference number 203 as used in Fig. 1 and which denotes a spacer corresponds to 403, 503, 603 and 703 as used in Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7, respectively, and, further, reference

number 205 as used in Fig. 1 and which denotes a transparent pixel electrode corresponds to 405, 505, 605 and 705 as used in Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7, respectively.

Further, for example, reference number 211 as used in Fig. 1 and which denotes a black matrix corresponds to 411, 511, 611 and 711 as used in Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7, respectively, and, further, reference number 212 as used in Fig. 1 and which denotes a gate electrode corresponds to 412, 512, 612 and 712 as used in Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7, respectively, and so on.

With regard to the substitute specification, the specification has been amended to incorporate the suggestions set forth by the Examiner. Further, for convenience of the Examiner, the amendments made by way of the amendment dated March 11, 2004 have also been included in the substitute specification.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 4-9-04

By David A. Blumenthal

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 22428
Telephone: (202) 672-5407
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

David A. Blumenthal
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 26,257